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Abstract: We show that it is decidable whether or not the set of coefficients of a given Q -algebraic sequence is finite. The same question is undecidable for Q -algebraic series. We consider also prime factors of algebraic series.
Category: F.4.3

## 1 Introduction

Formal power series play an important role in many diverse areas of theoretical computer science and mathematics, see [Berstel and Reutenauer 88], [Kuich and Salomaa 86] and [Salomaa and Soittola 78]. The classes of power series studied most often in connection with automata, grammars and languages are the rational and algebraic series.

In language theory formal power series often provide a powerful tool for obtaining deep decidability results, see [Kuich and Salomaa 86] and [Salomaa and Soittola 78]. A brilliant example is the solution of the equivalence problem for finite deterministic multitape automata given in [Harju and Karhumäki 91].

In this paper we consider decision problems concerning algebraic sequences and series. For earlier decidability results see [Kuich and Salomaa 86]. We show first that it is decidable whether or not the set of coefficients of a given Qalgebraic sequence is finite. We show that the same question is undecidable for series in $\mathbf{N}^{\text {alg }} \ll X^{*} \gg$. Next we consider algebraic series with commuting variables. We show that it is decidable, given a positive integer $k$ and a series $r \in \mathrm{Q}^{\text {alg }} \ll X^{\oplus} \gg$, whether or not the set of coefficients of $r$ has cardinality at most $k$. (Here $X^{\oplus}$ is the free commutative monoid generated by X.) We also apply the methods of our decidability proofs to study the prime factors of Q-algebraic series.

The questions studied in this paper are closely related to the study of thin and slender languages and their generalizations, see [Andraşiu, Dassow, Păun and Salomaa 93], [Păun and Salomaa 92], [Păun and Salomaa 93], [Păun and Salomaa 95], [Dassow, Păun and Salomaa 93], [Ilie 94], [Raz 00], [Nishida and Salomaa 00] and [Honkala 00].

Standard terminology and notation concerning formal languages and power series will be used in this paper. Whenever necessary, the reader may consult [Salomaa 73], [Salomaa and Soittola 78], [Kuich and Salomaa 86] and [Berstel and Reutenauer 88].

## 2 Images of algebraic series

Let $X$ be an alphabet. The free monoid (resp. the free commutative monoid) generated by $X$ is denoted by $X^{*}$ (resp. $X^{\oplus}$ ). The set of Q -rational (resp. $\mathbf{Q}$-algebraic) series with noncommuting variables in $X$ is denoted by $\mathbf{Q}^{\text {rat }} \ll$ $X^{*} \gg$ (resp. $\mathrm{Q}^{\text {alg }} \ll X^{*} \gg$ ). (Here $\mathbf{Q}$ is the field of rational numbers.) We consider also $\mathbf{Q}$-rational and $\mathbf{Q}$-algebraic series with commuting variables in $X$. The corresponding sets are denoted by $\mathrm{Q}^{\text {rat }} \ll X^{\oplus} \gg$ and $\mathrm{Q}^{\text {alg }} \ll X^{\oplus} \gg$, respectively. Furthermore, denote by $c$ the canonical morphism $c: \mathbf{Q} \ll X^{*} \gg \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Q} \ll X^{\oplus} \gg$. Hence,

$$
\mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{rat}} \ll X^{\oplus} \gg=\left\{c(r) \mid r \in \mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{rat}} \ll X^{*} \gg\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{alg}} \ll X^{\oplus} \gg=\left\{c(r) \mid r \in \mathrm{Q}^{\text {alg }} \ll X^{*} \gg\right\}
$$

By definition, the image of a series is the set of its coefficients. Hence, if $r=\sum(r, w) w \in \mathbf{Q} \ll X^{*} \gg$, the image of $r$ equals the set

$$
\left\{(r, w) \mid w \in X^{*}\right\}
$$

The following basic decidability result concerning images of Q-rational series was established in [Jacob 78].

Theorem 1. (Jacob) It is decidable whether or not a given rational series $r \in$ $\mathrm{Q}^{\text {rat }} \ll X^{*} \gg$ has a finite image.

In this paper we discuss the possibilities to generalize this result to $\mathbf{Q}$ algebraic series. We first establish a lemma concerning Q-algebraic series with commuting variables. Its proof relies heavily on earlier deep results in [Kuich and Salomaa 86] and [Semenov 77].

If $w \in X^{*}$ (or $w \in X^{\oplus}$ ), the Parikh vector $\psi(w)$ of $w$ is defined by

$$
\psi(w)=\left(\#_{x_{1}}(w), \ldots, \#_{x_{n}}(w)\right)
$$

Here $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ and $\#_{x}(w)$ stands for the number of occurrences of the letter $x$ in $w$.
Lemma 2. If $r \in \mathbf{Q}^{\text {alg }} \ll X^{\oplus} \gg$ has a finite image, then $r$ is a finite $\mathbf{Q}$-linear combination of series in $\mathbf{N}^{r a t} \ll X^{\oplus} \gg$ of the form $u v_{1}^{*} \ldots v_{m}^{*}$ with pairwise disjoint supports. Here $u, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m} \in X^{\oplus}$ and the Parikh vectors $\psi\left(v_{1}\right), \ldots, \psi\left(v_{m}\right)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbf{Q}$. In particular, if $r \in \mathbf{Q}^{\text {alg }} \ll X^{\oplus} \gg$ has a finite image then $r \in \mathbf{Q}^{r a t} \ll X^{\oplus} \gg$.

Proof. Suppose that $r \in \mathrm{Q}^{\text {alg }} \ll X^{\oplus} \gg$ has a finite image. Without loss of generality we assume that $r$ is quasiregular. Because $r$ has a finite image there exists a positive integer $a \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $a r \in \mathbf{Z} \ll X^{\oplus} \gg$. By Corollary 16.11 in [Kuich and Salomaa 86] there exists a nonzero polynomial $P\left(x_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.x_{n}, y\right) \in \mathbf{Z}<(X \cup y)^{\oplus}>$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, a r\right)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Here $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$.) Next, fix an integer $j$ and denote

$$
D_{j}=\left\{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{N}^{n} \mid\left(a r, x_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots x_{n}^{i_{n}}\right)=j\right\} .
$$

To study the properties of the set $D_{j}$ choose a large prime $p$ and denote by $\nu$ the canonical morphism

$$
\nu: \mathbf{Z} \ll X^{\oplus} \gg \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{p} \ll X^{\oplus} \gg
$$

Define the sequence $s: \mathbf{N}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{p}$ by

$$
s\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)=\left(\nu(a r), x_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots x_{n}^{i_{n}}\right)
$$

It follows from (1) that

$$
\nu(P)\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, \nu(a r)\right)=0
$$

or

$$
\nu(P)\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, \sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n} \geq 0} s\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right) x_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots x_{n}^{i_{n}}\right)=0 .
$$

Hence the sequence $s$ is $p$-algebraic. By Theorem 5.1 in [Bruyère, Hansel, Michaux and Villemaire 94] the sequence $s$ is $p$-recognizable. Consequently, the set $D_{j}^{\prime}$ defined by

$$
D_{j}^{\prime}=\left\{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{N}^{n} \mid\left(a r, x_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots x_{n}^{i_{n}}\right) \equiv j \quad(\bmod p)\right\}
$$

is a $p$-recognizable subset of $\mathbf{N}^{n}$. Because $p$ is large, $D_{j}=D_{j}^{\prime}$. Hence $D_{j}$ is a $p$-recognizable subset of $\mathbf{N}^{n}$.

Now, by replacing in the argument above the prime $p$ by another large prime $q$ it follows that $D_{j}$ is also $q$-recognizable. Therefore, by a deep result of Semenov (see [Semenov 77]), the set $D_{j}$ is a rational subset of $\mathbf{N}^{n}$. Denote

$$
E_{j}=\left\{x_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots x_{n}^{i_{n}} \mid\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right) \in D_{j}\right\} .
$$

Clearly, $E_{j}$ is a rational subset of $X^{\oplus}$. Because $X^{\oplus}$ is a commutative monoid, $E_{j}$ is an unambiguous rational subset of $X^{\oplus}$ (see [Eilenberg and Schützenberger 69]). It follows that

$$
\operatorname{char}\left(E_{j}\right) \in \mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{rat}} \ll X^{\oplus} \gg
$$

Hence $\operatorname{char}\left(E_{j}\right)$ is a finite $\mathbf{N}$-linear combination of series of the form $u v_{1}^{*} \ldots v_{m}^{*}$ with pairwise disjoint supports, where $u, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m} \in X^{\oplus}$ and the Parikh vectors $\psi\left(v_{1}\right), \ldots, \psi\left(v_{m}\right)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbf{Q}$. Because ar has a finite image, ar is a finite $\mathbf{Z}$-linear combination of series $\operatorname{char}\left(E_{j}\right)$, where $j$ is an integer. This implies the claim.

In the next theorem, $x \in X$ is a letter.
Theorem 3. It is decidable whether or not a given sequence $r \in \mathbf{Q}^{\text {alg }} \ll x^{*} \gg$ has a finite image.

Proof. First, decide by the method of Theorem 16.13 in [Kuich and Salomaa 86] whether $r$ belongs to $\mathrm{Q}^{\text {rat }} \ll x^{*} \gg$. If not, Lemma 2 implies that the image of $r$ is infinite. If $r \in \mathbf{Q}^{\text {rat }} \ll x^{*} \gg$, the finiteness of the image can be decided by Theorem 1.

Theorem 3 cannot be extended to alphabets with more than one letter.
Theorem 4. Let $X$ be an alphabet with at least two letters. It is undecidable, given a series $r \in \mathbf{N}^{\text {alg }} \ll X^{*} \gg$, whether or not $r$ has a finite image.
Proof. Let $\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ and $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ be two lists of words over an alphabet $\Sigma$ determining an instance PCP of the Post Correspondence Problem. Choose new letters $a, b, c, d$ and define the series $r$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
r & =\sum_{k \geq 1,1 \leq i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k} \leq n} b a^{i_{1}} b a^{i_{2}} \ldots b a^{i_{k}} c u_{i_{k}} \ldots u_{i_{2}} u_{i_{1}} d \\
& +\sum_{k \geq 1,1 \leq i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k} \leq n} b a^{i_{1}} b a^{i_{2}} \ldots b a^{i_{k}} c v_{i_{k}} \ldots v_{i_{2}} v_{i_{1}} d .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the series $r^{+}$. Clearly $r^{+}$is $\mathbf{N}$-algebraic. Now, if PCP has a solution, at least one term of $r$ has coefficient 2. Hence $r^{+}$has an infinite image. On the other hand, if PCP does not possess a solution the set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{b a^{i_{1}} b a^{i_{2}} \ldots b a^{i_{k}} c u_{i_{k}} \ldots u_{i_{2}} u_{i_{1}} d \mid k \geq 1,1 \leq i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k} \leq n\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{b a^{i_{1}} b a^{i_{2}} \ldots b a^{i_{k}} c v_{i_{k}} \ldots v_{i_{2}} v_{i_{1}} d \mid k \geq 1,1 \leq i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k} \leq n\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the union is disjoint, is a prefix code. Therefore, each coefficient of $r^{+}$ equals 0 or 1 , and the image of $r^{+}$is finite. Consequently, the image of $r^{+}$is finite if and only if PCP does not possess a solution.

Finally, let $h:(\Sigma \cup\{a, b, c, d\})^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}$ be an injective morphism. Such a morphism exists because $X$ has at least two letters. By the closure properties of algebraic series, $h\left(r^{+}\right)$belongs to $\mathbf{N}^{\text {alg }} \ll X^{*} \gg$. Because the injective morphism preserves the image, the claim follows.

It is an open problem whether or not it is decidable if a given power series $r \in \mathrm{Q}^{\text {alg }} \ll X^{\oplus} \gg$ has a finite image. The following theorem solves a related problem.
Theorem 5. Given a positive integer $k$ and a series $r \in \mathrm{Q}^{\text {alg }} \ll X^{\oplus} \gg$ it is decidable whether or not the image of $r$ has cardinality at most $k$.
Proof. First, decide whether or not $r$ belongs to $\mathbf{Q}^{\text {rat }} \ll X^{\oplus} \gg$. If not, $r$ has an infinite image and we are done. If $r \in \mathbf{Q}^{\text {rat }} \ll X^{\oplus} \gg$ we consider two semialgorithms. The first semialgorithm computes successively the coefficients of $r$ and tries to find $k+1$ distinct coefficients. The second semialgorithm tries to express $r$ as a finite $\mathbf{Q}$-linear combination of series of the form $u v_{1}^{*} \ldots v_{n}^{*}$ with pairwise disjoint supports, where $u, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n} \in X^{\oplus}$ and the Parikh vectors $\psi\left(v_{1}\right), \ldots, \psi\left(v_{n}\right)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbf{Q}$. This semialgorithm terminates, by Lemma 2, if $r$ has a finite image. If it terminates, it can be decided whether or not the image of $r$ has cardinality at most $k$.

An algorithm for Theorem 5 is now obtained by using concurrently the two semialgorithms.

## 3 Prime factors of algebraic series

In this section we use the methods of the previous section to study prime factors of algebraic series.

If $p$ is a prime, the $p$-adic valuation $\nu_{p}$ over $\mathbf{Q}$ is defined as follows. If $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$, $b \neq 0$ and $p$ divides neither $a$ nor $b$, then $\nu_{p}\left(p^{n} a / b\right)=n$ for $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Furthermore, $\nu_{p}(0)=\infty$. Now, if $r \in \mathbf{Q} \ll X^{*} \gg$ (or $r \in \mathbf{Q} \ll X^{\oplus} \gg$ ), the set Prime $(r)$ of prime factors of $r$ is defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Prime}(r)=\left\{p \in \mathbf{N} \mid p \text { is a prime number and for some } w \in X^{*}\right. \\
\text { we have } \left.\nu_{p}((r, w)) \neq 0, \infty\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

For the theory of prime factors of $\mathbf{Q}$-rational series, see [Berstel and Reutenauer 88]. By a well known theorem of [Pólya 21], the set of prime factors of a rational series $r \in \mathbf{Q}^{\text {rat }} \ll x^{*} \gg$ is finite if and only if $r$ is the sum of a polynomial and of a merge of geometric series.

For the next theorem we need two definitions. First, a language $L \subseteq X^{*}$ is called commutatively nonrational if the commutative variant $c(L)$ of $\bar{L}$ is not a rational subset of $X^{\oplus}$. Secondly, a language $L \subseteq X^{*}$ is called Parikh thin if $c\left(w_{1}\right) \neq c\left(w_{2}\right)$ whenever $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ are distinct elements of $L$.

Theorem 6. Suppose $r \in \mathrm{Q}^{\text {alg }} \ll X^{*} \gg$ is a Q -algebraic series. If supp( $r$ ) is commutatively nonrational and Parikh thin, there is at most one prime $p$ such that $p$ is not a prime factor of $r$.

Proof. We assume without loss of generality that $r$ is quasiregular. Because $r$ is Parikh thin, the series $r$ and $c(r)$ have the same prime factors. Therefore it suffices to show that there is at most one prime $p$ which is not a prime factor of $c(r)$. Suppose $p$ is such a prime. Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =\left\{a \in \mathbf{Q} \mid \nu_{p}(a) \geq 0\right\}, \\
I & =\left\{a \in \mathbf{Q} \mid \nu_{p}(a)>0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is well known that $A$ is a ring and $I$ is a maximal ideal of $A$. Hence $F=A / I$ is a field with $p$ elements. Denote by $\nu$ the canonical morphism

$$
\nu: A \rightarrow F
$$

and its extension

$$
\nu: A \ll X^{\oplus} \gg \rightarrow F \ll X^{\oplus} \gg
$$

Because $p$ is not a prime factor of $c(r)$, we have $c(r) \in A \ll X^{\oplus} \gg$. Hence, $\nu(c(r)) \in F \ll X^{\oplus} \gg$. Furthermore, the supports of $c(r)$ and $\nu(c(r))$ are equal.

Now, by Corollary 16.12 in [Kuich and Salomaa 86], there exists a primitive polynomial $P\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y\right) \in \mathbf{Z}<(X \cup y)^{\oplus}>$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, c(r)\right)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Here $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$.) Next, regard (2) as an equation in $A \ll X^{\oplus} \gg$ and apply the morphism $\nu$. It follows that

$$
\nu(P)\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, \nu(c(r))\right)=0
$$

Denote

$$
D=\left\{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right) \mid x_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots x_{n}^{i_{n}} \in \operatorname{supp}(c(r))\right\} .
$$

Now, it follows as in the proof of Lemma 2 that $D$ is a $p$-recognizable subset of $\mathbf{N}^{n}$. Consequently, we have seen that if $p$ is a prime which is not a prime factor of $r$, then the set $D$ is $p$-recognizable.

To conclude the proof, suppose that $p$ and $q$ are distinct primes which are not prime factors of $r$. Then the set $D$ is both a $p$-recognizable and a $q$-recognizable subset of $\mathbf{N}^{n}$. Hence, by the result of [Semenov 77], $D$ is a rational subset of $\mathbf{N}^{n}$. Consequently, $\operatorname{supp}(c(r))$ is a rational subset of $X^{\oplus}$. This is not possible because $\operatorname{supp}(c(r))=c(\operatorname{supp}(r))$. Hence there cannot be more than one prime which is not a prime factor of $r$.

Denote by $\alpha$ the isomorphism $\alpha: X^{\oplus} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}^{n}$ defined by

$$
\alpha\left(x_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots x_{n}^{i_{n}}\right)=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)
$$

By definition, a language $L \subseteq X^{*}$ is commutatively $p$-recognizable if $\alpha(c(L))$ is a $p$-recognizable subset of $\mathbf{N}^{n}$.
Theorem 7. Suppose $r \in \mathrm{Q}^{\text {alg }} \ll X^{*} \gg$ is a Q -algebraic series such that $\operatorname{supp}(r)$ is Parikh thin. If supp $(r)$ is commutatively $p$-recognizable for no prime $p$, then every prime is a prime factor of $r$.

Proof. The claim follows by the proof of Theorem 6.
We conclude with an example of a series satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 7.

Example 1. Denote

$$
r=\sum_{n, m \geq 0}\left(n^{2}-m\right)^{2} a^{n} b^{m}
$$

The series $r$ belongs to $\mathbf{Q}^{\text {rat }} \ll\{a, b\}^{*} \gg$. Clearly,

$$
\operatorname{supp}(r)=\left\{a^{n} b^{m} \mid n^{2} \neq m \text { and } n, m \geq 0\right\}
$$

Hence, $\operatorname{supp}(r)$ is Parikh thin. Also, the set $\alpha(c(\operatorname{supp}(r)))=\left\{(n, m) \mid n^{2} \neq\right.$ $m$ and $n, m \geq 0\}$ is $p$-recognizable for no prime $p$. Indeed, if $\alpha(c(\operatorname{supp}(r)))$ were $p$ recognizable so would be the sets $\left\{(n, m) \mid n^{2}=m\right.$ and $\left.n, m \geq 0\right\}$ and $\left\{n^{2} \mid n \geq 0\right\}$. However, the last set is a well known example of a set which is not $p$-recognizable for any $p$. Hence $r$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 7. Obviously each prime is a prime factor of $r$.
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